CAMS HILL SCHOOL NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENT POLICY



JCQ Requirement

Date created: January 2019 Review period: Annually Last Reviewed: 25 April 2025 Ratified by Governors: N/A

Next Review Date: 25 April 2026

Contents

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments	3
Purpose of the policy	3
What are non-examination assessments?	3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	4
The Basic Principles	4
Task Setting	5
Issuing of Tasks	5
Task Taking	5
Supervision	5
Advice and Feedback	6
Resources	6
Word and Time Limits	6
Collaboration and Group Work	6
Authentication Procedures	6
Presentation of Work	7
Keeping Materials Secure	7
Task marking – externally assessed components	7
Conduct of externally assessed work	7
Task marking – internally assessed components	8
Marking and Annotation	8
Internal Standardisation	8
Consortium Arrangements	9
Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation	9
Storage and Retention of Work after Submission of Marks	10
External Moderation – the process	10
External Moderation – feedback	10
Access arrangements	11
Special consideration and loss of work	11
Malpractice	11
Post-results services	12
Practical skills endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	13
Spoken language endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	14
Private candidates	14
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	14

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role Name(s)

Head of Centre Ian Hudson

Quality Assurance Lead/

Lead Internal Verifier Steve Blackman

SLT Member(s) Ian Hudson, Tanya Noble

SENDCos Andrew Haines and Sophie Haynes

Exams Officer Olivera Ure

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE, GCSE and vocational qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect any type of assessment that **is not**:

- Set by an awarding body,
- Designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- Taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination</u> assessments, Foreword]. This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting
- task taking
- task marking

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The Basic Principles

Head of Centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of Non-examinations Assessment Policy NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-Examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *Internal Appeals Procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior Leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead Internal Verifier

- Confirms with Curriculum Leaders that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Curriculum Leader

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA Lead/Lead Internal Verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject Teacher

Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>

- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries
- Will not use Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a sole marking tool when marking pupil's work.

Exams Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication <u>NEA</u> to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/ management of non-examination assessment

Task Setting

Subject Teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of Tasks

Subject Teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task Taking

Supervision

Subject Teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and Feedback

Subject Teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject Teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc

Word and Time Limits

Subject Teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and Group Work

Subject Teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication Procedures

Subject Teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has
 passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the Senior Leadership Team

Presentation of Work

Subject Teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping Materials Secure

Subject Teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for candidates social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

 Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject Teacher

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where/if this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject Teacher

• Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and Annotation

Head of Centre

• Ensures where a teacher teaches their own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

Curriculum Leader

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject Teacher

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal Standardisation

Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead Internal Verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff, etc)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking

- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject Teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium Arrangements

Curriculum Leader

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the Exams Officer to ensure the awarding body is notified by submission of the Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work (including Spoken Language Endorsements, GCSE English Language) for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject Teacher

- Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams Officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
 - submits the notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work via the awarding body's Centre Admin Portal (CAP) to the deadline for each exam series affected
 - submits marks to the awarding body deadline
 - liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation

Subject Teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams Officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the
 marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been
 submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and Retention of Work after Submission of Marks

Subject Teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc)

Exams Officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External Moderation – the process

Subject Teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External Moderation – feedback

Curriculum Leader

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject Teacher

 Works with the SENDCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special Educational Needs and Disabilities Coordinator (SENDCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u>
 <u>Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal
 way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where
 required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject Teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the Exams Officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the Exams Officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of Centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies</u> and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff

are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject Teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre
- Is aware of the JQC guidance Al Use in Assessments: Your Role in Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications to convey correct and inappropriate use of Artificial Intelligence (AI).

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of Centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Curriculum Leader

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject Teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the Exams Officer in collecting candidate consent where required

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u> (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Practical skills endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of Centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
 reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will
 have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead Internal Verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the assessment criteria correctly

Curriculum Leader

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject Teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline

- Accepts contact with the monitor and pass information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates
 Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Spoken language endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of Centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will
have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead Internal Verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Curriculum Leader

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject Teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams Officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Private candidates

Cams Hill School does not accept private candidates.

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting	1	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	IT Support
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Curriculum Leader

Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	Subject Teacher
understand the marking	criteria described in the specification that is not	
criteria and what they	specific to the work of an individual candidate or	
need to do to gain credit	group of candidates is produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the	
	marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the	
	marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff	Curriculum Leader
absence during the task	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
setting stage		
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish	Curriculum Leader
specification given to	between requirements/tasks for legacy	and Exams Officer
candidates undertaking	specifications and requirements/tasks for new	
new specification	specifications	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
	remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as	Curriculum Leader
not issued to candidates	detailed in the specification noted prior to start of	and Subject
on time	course	Teacher
	Course information issued to candidates contains	
	details when set task will be issued and needs to be	
	completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken	Curriculum Leader
candidates	from the awarding body's specification confirms the	and Exams Officer
	correct task will be issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
	remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff	Curriculum Leader
absence during the	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
issuing of tasks stage		
A candidate (or	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form	Subject Teacher
parent/carer) expresses	part of the sample which will be recorded	and Designated
concern about	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest	Safeguarding Lead
safeguarding,	opportunity where unable to record the required	
confidentiality or faith in	number of candidates for the monitoring sample	
undertaking a task such		
as a presentation that		
may be recorded		
Task taking		
N	Supervision	
Planned assessments	Assessment plan identified for the start of the	Curriculum Leader
clash with other centre or	Course	
candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide	
Danis au Carrella	calendar	Commission
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate	Curriculum Leader
inadequate for	rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	and SLT
candidates to take tasks	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	
under appropriate	insufficient for number of candidates	
supervision	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large	
	exam venue at the same time	

		T
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	SLT and Exams
candidates to enable	the current JCQ publication Instructions for	Officer
work to be authenticated	conducting non-examination assessments and any	
	other specific instructions detailed in the awarding	
	body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-	
	examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Subject Teacher,
of malpractice prior to	publication Instructions for conducting non-	SLT and Exams
submitting their work for	examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice)	Officer
assessment	are followed	
	An internal investigation and where appropriate	
	internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	SENDCO and
were not put in place for	A guide to the special consideration process (section	Exams officer
an assessment where a	2), to determine the process to be followed to apply	
candidate is approved for	for special consideration for the candidate	
arrangements	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
U	Advice and feedback	1
Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Curriculum Leader
appropriate advice and	teachers to record all information provided to	and SLT
feedback not given by	candidates before work begins as part of the	
subject teacher prior to	centre's quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and	
	advice given to candidates prior to starting on their	
	work as appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback	
	given prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Curriculum Leader
advice and feedback	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided	and SLT
given by subject teacher	to candidates during the task-taking stage as part	
during the task-taking	of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
360	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback	
	given to candidates during the task-taking stage as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback	
	given during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted following internal	Head of Centre
assistance was given to	procedures; candidates and subject teacher are	and SLT
candidates by the subject	interviewed and statements recorded where	dia JEI
teacher over and above	relevant	
that allowed in the	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm	
regulations and	all assistance given	
specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report	
Specification	is submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	Curriculum Leader
reference information	information before work is submitted for formal	and Exams Officer
from published source		and Ladins Officer
moni publisheu source	assessment	

	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to	
	ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of their own research,	Subject Teacher and Exams Officer
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to	
	ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Exams Officer
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine	Exams Officer
another centre during the	what can be done depending on the stage at which	
course	the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants	The awarding body specification is checked to	Curriculum Leader
to complete their non-	determine if the specification is available to a	and Exams Officer
examination	candidate outside mainstream education	
assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication	
	and marking are made separately for the candidate	
	Resources	1
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Memory sticks are not permitted for the purpose of storing candidates' work Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally	Subject Teacher and Exams Officer
	supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources	Subject Teacher and Exams Officer
	appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Word and time limits	
Δ candidate is negalised		Fyams Officer
by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are	LAGIIIS OTTICET
exceeding word or time	Word and time limits Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Exams Officer

		T
	Candidates confirm/record any information	
	provided to them on word or time limits is known	
	and understood	
	Collaboration and group work	T
Candidates have worked	Records confirm the awarding body specification	Exams Officer
in groups where the	has been checked to determine if group work is	
awarding body	permitted	
specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
not permitted	remains unresolved	
	Authentication procedures	
A teacher has doubts	Records confirm subject staff have been made	Exams Officer
about the authenticity of	aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing	
the work submitted by a	assessment material and candidates' work	
candidate for internal	Records confirm that candidates have been issued	
assessment	with the current JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
Candidate plagiarises	Candidates confirm/record that they understand	
other material	what they need to do to comply with the	
	regulations for non-examination assessments as	
	outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for	
	assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	
	awarding body	
Candidate does not sign	Records confirm that candidates have been issued	Exams Officer,
their authentication	with the current JCQ document Information for	Subject Teacher
statement/declaration	candidates: non-examination assessments	and/or Quality
	Records confirm that staff have been issued with	Assurance Lead
	the current JCQ document AI Use in Assessments:	7.000.000 200.0
	Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications	
	Candidates confirm/record that they understand	
	what they need to do to comply with the	
	regulations for appropriate use of Al	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what	
	they need to do to comply with the regulations as	
	outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before	
	accepting the work of a candidate for formal	
	assessment	
Subject teacher not	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Curriculum Leader
available to sign	teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of	and Exams Officer
authentication forms	marking candidates work as part of the centre's	did Lains Officer
addientication forms	quality assurance procedures	
	Presentation of work	
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed	Subject Teacher
complete the awarding	before accepting the work of a candidate for formal	and Exams Officer
body's cover sheet that is	assessment	and Examis Officer
attached to their worked	assessificit	
submitted for formal		
assessment		
מטטכטטוווכוונ		

	Keeping materials secure	
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Subject Teacher, Curriculum Leader and Exams Officer
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	SLT
Task marking – externally a	ssessed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Exams Officer
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Exams Officer
Task marking – internally as A candidate submits little	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate	Subject Teacher
or no work	is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Curriculum Leader and Exams Officer
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Exams Officer
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	SLT and Exams Officer
A teacher marks the work of their own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching their own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Exams Officer

An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Curriculum Leader and Exams Officer
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams Officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	SLT and Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject Teacher and Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	SLT and Curriculum Leader